approved the Stipulation and Agreement (Settlement) filed March 20, 1998, in Docket No. RP97–344. According to Article XIII of the Settlement, the Settlement became effective on November 14, 1998, due to no applications being filed for rehearing of the Commission's October 14, 1998, Order Denying Rehearing. Pursuant to the provisions of Article II of the approved Stipulation and Agreement, the refunds were made on January 13, 1999.

Texas Gas states that this refund report is being submitted in compliance with the provisions of Article XII of the Stipulation and Agreement, requiring a report within 30 days of the refunds, and in accordance with Subpart F of Part 154 of the Commission's regulations.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before February 25, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–4500 Filed 2–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. IS90-21-000, et al. and IS90-39-000, et al.]

### Williams Pipe Line Company and Enron Liquids Pipeline Company; Notice of Settlement Conference

February 18, 1999.

Take notice that, pursuant to Rule 601, 18 CFR 385.601, a settlement conference will be convened in these proceedings on Tuesday, March 9, 1999, before the Settlement Judge appointed to Docket No. IS91–34–000, et al. The conference will begin at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

The conference continues discussions initiated by the Commission's order issued July 15, 1998, in Docket No. IS91–34–000, et al. In addition, participants will discuss all rates for the Williams Pipe Line Company, commencing with Williams' 1990 rates, all rate decisions rendered by the Commission in Opinion No. 391–B, 84 FERC ¶61,022 (1998), and the implications of those decisions, as well as all other issues considered in Opinion No. 391–B. The purpose of the conference is to resolve all matters pending in the above listed proceedings.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant, as defined in 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend the conference. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's regulations, 18 CFR 385.214.

For additional information, contact FERC Staff representatives Joel Cockrell at (202) 208–1184, or Russell B. Mamone at (202) 208–0744.

### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–4497 Filed 2–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-266-016, et al.]

# PS Energy Group, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

February 16, 1999.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

### 1. PS Energy Group, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-266-016]

Take notice that on February 10, 1999 the above-mentioned power marketer filed a quarterly report with the Commission in the above-mentioned proceeding for information only. This filing is available for public inspection and copying in the Public Reference Room or on the internet at www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm for viewing and downloading (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

### 2. Public Service Company of Colorado and Southwestern Public Service Company

[Docket No. EC96-2-000]

Take notice that on February 8, 1999, Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo) and Southwestern Public Service Company (SPS) filed an update regarding the status of the proposed interconnection between their transmission systems.

Comment date: March 10, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 3. BEC Energy and Commonwealth Energy System

[Docket No. EC99-33-000]

Take notice that on February 8, 1999, BEC Energy and Commonwealth Energy System (collectively, the Applicants) filed a Joint Application under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act (FPA) and Part 33 of the Commission's regulations to request authorization and approval for the proposed merger between BEC Energy and Commonwealth Energy System.

The Applicants state that copies of the filing have been served upon the Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy and potential intervenors.

Comment date: April 12, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 4. OGE Energy Resources, Inc., EnerZ Corporation, and Wilson Power & Gas Smart, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER97-4345-008, ER96-3064-011, ER95-751-016]

Take notice that on February 11, 1999, the above-mentioned power marketers filed quarterly reports with the Commission in the above-mentioned proceedings for information only. These filings are available for public inspection and copying in the Public Reference Room or on the internet at www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm for viewing and downloading (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

### 5. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER98-4635-001]

Take notice that Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, on February 10, 1999, tendered for filing amendments to its Open Access Transmission Tariff, comprising its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission's Order Rejecting Scheduling And Balancing Tariff, And Accepting In Part And Rejecting In Part (As Modified) Proposed Amendment To Open Access Tariff, issued January 11, 1999.

In the January 11, 1999 Order, the Commission directed Niagara Mohawk to modify the terms and conditions of the Scheduling and Balancing Tariff it had originally proposed in this docket, and to file these modified terms and conditions as an amendment to its Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Copies of the filing were served upon Niagara Mohawk's Open Access